STATE CAPITOL # ROOM 1145 # SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-4996 # WWW.DDF.CA.GOV

November 14, 2008

Mr. Gary M. Christmas
Deputy County Executive Officer
County of Riverside
County Administrative Center
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor
Riverside, CA 92501-3679

Ms. Gisele Corrie
Financial Manager
Office of Court Construction and Management
Judicial Council of California
Administrative Office of the Courts
2860 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 400
Sacramento, CA 95833-3509

Dear Mr. Christmas and Ms. Corrie:

## Decision on the Court Facilities Dispute Resolution Committee Recommendation from the September 30, 2008, meeting

The Court Facilities Dispute Resolution Committee (Committee) formally met on September 30, 2008, to hear the Riverside County initiated dispute regarding the County Facility Payment for the Family Law Court Building. Per Government Code Section 70303, the Committee submitted its recommendation to me.

## Disputes Regarding the County Facility Payment

Government Code Section 70366 provides that within 30 days of the Administrative Office of the Courts (AOC) mailing a county an approved county facility payment (CFP), the county may submit a declaration to the Committee that the amount is incorrect for one or more of the following reasons:

- (1) Expenditure data is reported incorrectly or calculated incorrectly and causes an approved county facilities payment amount that is higher than the payment should be.
- (2) The approved county facilities payment includes amounts that were specifically appropriated, funded, and expended, by the county to fund extraordinary one-time expenditures. Extraordinary one-time expenditures do not include periodic major facility repair or maintenance including but not limited to, reroofing or replacement of a major system component. Extraordinary one-time expenditures do include, but are not limited to, abatement of asbestos and seismic structural upgrades.
- (3) The approved county facilities payment includes expenses funded from grants or subventions that would not have been funded without these grants or subventions.

Mr. Gary M. Christmas Ms. Gisele Corrie Page 2 November 14, 2008

The Committee's recommendation is detailed below:

**Family Law Court Facility:** Approve a modified CFP using an alternative methodology. This will yield a CFP of \$299,426. The Committee members voted unanimously to recommend the modified CFP amount.

I concur with the recommendation of the Committee as summarized above.

If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to call Jennifer Osborn at (916) 445-8913.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL C. GENEST

Director

cc: Court Facilities Dispute Resolution Committee Interested Parties List

icc: BRADSHAW, KAHN, FINN, ROGERS, BRADY, OSBORN, MCCLAIN, CALVERT, C/F, SUSPENSE, FILE

C:\Documents and Settings\FIPPALME\Local Settings\Temporary Internet Files\OLKD4\DRC 9-30-08 Director Decision.doc